

No. ID/FD/102-83/43483.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Karuti and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma and Brothers Allah Pur (Palwal) District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana Faridabad constituted under section 7A of a said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Karuti was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/102-83/43518.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shrimati Dayawati and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma and Brothers Allah Pur (Palwal) District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shrimati Dayawati was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is she entitled?

No. ID/FD/102-83/43525.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shrimati Chatro and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma and Brothers Allahpur (Palwal) District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shrimati Chatro was justified and in order? if not, to what relief is she entitled?

No. ID/FD/102-83/43532.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shrimati Ram Sarup and the management of M/s. Muni Lal Sharma & Brothers Allahpur (Palwal) Distt. Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to the refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section 10 of the industrial disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Farida ad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication;

Whether the termination of service of Shrimati Ram Sarup was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/102-83/43539.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Smt. Govdhni and the management of M/s. Muni Lal Sharma & Brothers Allahpur (Palwal) Distt. Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section 10 of the industrial disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Smt. Govdhni was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is she entitled?